arXiv:1403.1990v2 [math.DG] 25 Aug 2014

Obstructions to the integrability of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

O. Brahic[*], A. Cabrera[†], C. Ortiz[‡]

August 27, 2014

Abstract

$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids can be thought of as vector bundle objects in the category of Lie groupoids. Just as Lie algebroids are the infinitesimal counterparts of Lie groupoids, $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids correspond to the infinitesimal version of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids. In this work we address the problem of the existence of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid admitting a given $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid as its infinitesimal data. Our main result is an explicit characterization of the obstructions appearing in this integrability problem as the vanishing of the spherical periods of certain cohomology classes. Along the way, we illustrate our result in concrete examples. Finally, as a corollary, we obtain computable obstructions for a 2-term representation up to homotopy of Lie algebroid to arise as the infinitesimal counterpart of a smooth such representation of a Lie groupoid.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Background material about $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids **4**
2.1 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids 4
2.2 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids 5
2.3 Lie theory for $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids 7
2.4 Splittings on a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid 8

3 Integrability of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids **9**
3.1 General theory of obstructions 9
3.2 Obstructions to the integration of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids 10
3.3 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids of regular type 12
3.4 Computing the obstructions 16

4 Integrating 2-term representations up to homotopy **20**
4.1 Representations up to homotopy 20
4.2 Lie theory for 2-term representations 22
4.3 The case of the adjoint and coadjoint representations up to homotopy 25
4.4 Holonomies of superconnections and smoothness of the integration 25

[*] brahicolivier@gmail.com, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor de Ciências Exatas - Centro Politêcnico 81531-990 Curitiba, Brasil. This author was supported by CNPq grant 401253/2012-0.

[†] acabrera@labma.ufrj.br, Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, CEP 21941-909, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

[‡] cortiz@ime.usp.br, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1010, Cidade Universitária, 05508-090 São Paulo - Brasil.

A Holonomies and curvatures **26**

1 Introduction

Recently, Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids have been extensively studied in connection with differential geometry and mathematical physics. Lie theory for algebroids and groupoids is initially parallel to that of algebras and groups. Just as any Lie group has an associated Lie algebra, every Lie groupoid G can be linearized yielding a Lie algebroid AG. However, unlike finite dimensional Lie algebras, not every Lie algebroid can be realized as the linearization of a given Lie groupoid. A Lie algebroid which is isomorphic to the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid is called integrable. It is well known that if a Lie algebroid A is integrable then, up to isomorphism, there exists a unique source simply connected Lie groupoid integrating A. The problem of integrability of Lie algebroids was solved by Crainic and Fernandes in [10], where the obstructions to integrability were characterized in terms of certain monodromy groups associated to A. These monodromy groups are not easily computable in general.

In this paper, we are concerned with the integrability of a special class of Lie algebroids called $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids. A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid is given by a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{p} & A \\ {\scriptstyle p_D}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_A} \\ E & \xrightarrow{p_E} & M \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where the vertical arrows denote Lie algebroid structures, the horizontal arrows are vector bundles, and both structures are compatible in a suitable sense. A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid as above will be denoted by (D, A, E, M). The concept of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid was introduced by Pradines [19] and it has been further studied by Mackenzie [15], Gracia-Saz and Mehta [11], among other authors. Closely related to $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids is the notion of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. These are objects given by a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{q_H} & G \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{q_E} & M \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

where double arrows denote Lie groupoid structures and single arrows denote vector bundle structures, suitably compatible with the groupoid structures. We denote by (H, G, E, M) a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid as above.

Both $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids arise in connection with representation theory [11, 12] and have shown to be specially important in the infinitesimal description of Lie groupoids equipped with multiplicative geometric structures, see e.g. [16, 18] and [14]. This makes Lie theory in the $\mathcal{VB}$-category into an interesting problem. First (see e.g. [15]), the application of the Lie functor to a diagram underlying a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M) gives rise to a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (AH, AG, E, M), the linearization of (H, G, E, M). A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid isomorphic to one obtained as the linearization of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid is called integrable. The first step towards understanding integrability of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids is given in [7], where it is proven that (D, A, E, M) is integrable as

a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid iff the top Lie algebroid $D \to E$ is integrable. On the other hand, since A can be seen as a subalgebroid of D, the integrability of A is a necessary condition for the integrability of D. The converse result does not hold in general, that is, the integrability of A does not imply the integrability of D. This is illustrated in Example 3.20 of the present paper.

The main goal of this work is to find necessary and sufficient conditions which ensure that a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid is integrable to a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. Our main result is given in Theorem 3.18, which states that a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) is integrable iff A is integrable and the spherical periods of certain cohomology classes associated to (D, A, E, M) vanish.

The proof of our main result is based on specializing, to the $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid framework, the theory of integrability of Lie algebroids developed in [10]. We first observe that the fact that scalar multiplication on a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid is given by Lie algebroid morphisms poses strong constraints on the monodromy groups of [10]. We are then able to compute the obstructions explicitly in terms of integrals by restricting D to each leaf of A and applying the characterization given in [11] of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids in terms of cohomology classes. The vanishing of these integrals can also be seen as the condition for certain algebroid cohomology classes to be in the image of the Van Est map ([9]).

Finally, we apply our main result to the study of Lie theory for two-term representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids. Recall that a two-term representation up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid A consists on graded vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$, concentrated in degree 0 and 1, equipped with a flat superconnection (see e.g. [1]). This is equivalent to the data $(\partial, \nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$, where ∇^E, ∇^C are respectively A-connections on E and C, which are flat up to homotopy, i.e. the vanishing of the curvatures is controlled by the bundle map $\partial : C \to E$ and the 2-cocycle $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$. As shown in [11], there is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids (D, A, E, M) and isomorphism classes of representations up to homotopy of A on the graded bundle $C \oplus E$, where C is the core bundle of D. More concretely, the choice of a splitting $D \cong A \oplus E \oplus C$ naturally induces a two-term representation up to homotopy $(\partial, \nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ of A on $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$. For more details, see [11].

Lie theory for two-term representations up to homotopy goes as follows. In [2] the concept of representation up to homotopy of a Lie groupoid was introduced. It was then proved in [3] that every representation up to homotopy of a Lie groupoid G can be linearized yielding a representation up to homotopy of its Lie algebroid AG. Representations of a Lie algebroid arising (up to isomorphism) as linearizations of a representation of a Lie groupoid are called integrable. In [4], it was shown that representations up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid A can be, in a certain sense, 'integrated' to representations up to homotopy of the so called infinity groupoid of A, which is just a simplicial object rather than a Lie groupoid. Nevertheless, it is known that not every two-term representation up to homotopy of an integrable Lie algebroid is integrable to a representation of a Lie groupoid (see [4] Section 5.6 or reinterpret Example 3.20 below in terms of representations). We thus apply our integrability criterium to fully characterize the obstructions involved in this integrability problem. This is done by means of the correspondence between $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and two-term representations up to homotopy of Lie groupoids of [12], as explained in Proposition 4.6.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present a background material on $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids, including the main examples, properties and a characterization in terms of homogeneous structures. We also recall the notion of splitting of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. In section 3, we briefly review the obstructions for the integrability of Lie algebroids from [10] and we then prove Theorem 3.4, Proposition 3.15 and Theorem 3.18, which are the main results of this work. Section 4 is devoted to applications of our main results. We prove Proposition 4.6

which characterizes the integrability of two-term representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids in terms of the integration of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids. As a consequence, we prove Corollary 4.7, which provides general criteria for the integration of two-term representations up to homotopy. Also, we prove Proposition 4.8, dealing with the integrability of both the adjoint and coadjoint representations up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid. Finally, we have included appendix A where we discuss the basic properties of curvatures and holonomies of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the PPGMA at UFPR, Curitiba, as well as IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, for supporting several visits while part of this work was carried out. Also, the authors thank Henrique Bursztyn, Matias del Hoyo and Rajan Mehta, for interesting discussions and useful comments and suggestions that have improved this paper. Ortiz thanks CNPq (Projeto Universal 482796/2013-8) and CAPES-COFECUB (grant 763/13) for supporting this work. Cabrera also thanks CNPq (Projeto Universal 471864/2012-9) for support. Brahic was supported by CNPq (Programa Ciência sem Fronteiras 401253/2012-0).

2 Background material about $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

In this section we briefly recall the definition of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and their infinitesimal counterparts, $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids. There are several ways to introduce such structures, we shall follow [7] and do this by means of homogeneous structures. Given a vector bundle $V \to M$, we shall denote by $m_\lambda : V \to V$ the fiberwise scalar multiplication by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The map $m : \mathbb{R} \times V \to V; (\lambda, v) \to m_\lambda(v)$, will be referred to as the **homogeneous structure** associated to the vector bundle structure on V (see [13] for further details).

2.1 $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids

A $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid can be roughly defined as a Lie groupoid object in the category of vector bundles. There are various ways to make this assertion more precise, one is as follows. Consider a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{q_H} & G \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{q_E} & M \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

where double arrows denote Lie groupoid structures and single arrows denote vector bundle structures. Denote by m the homogeneous structure corresponding to the vector bundle $H \to G$ and m^E the one corresponding to $E \to M$.

We now consider the next definition follwing [7], where the equivalence to other definitions can be found.

Definition 2.1 *A $\mathcal{VB}$-**groupoid** (H, G, E, M) is a diagram like (2.3) such that $m_\lambda : H \to H$ defines a Lie groupoid morphism covering $m^E_\lambda : E \to E$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Given two $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids (H, G, E, M) and (H', G', E', M'), a* **morphism of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids** *is Lie groupoid morphism $\Phi : H \to H'$ over a map $\phi : E \to E'$ such that it commutes with the underlying homogeneous structures (m, m^E) and $(m', m^{E'})$.*

Example 2.2 (Pair $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid) Let $E \to M$ be any vector bundle. Then $(E \times E, M \times M, E, M)$ defines a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid where the underlying groupoids are the pair groupoids $E \times E \rightrightarrows E$ and $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$.

Example 2.3 (Tangent groupoid) Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. The application of the tangent functor to each of the structural maps that define G, gives rise to a Lie groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$, referred to as the **tangent groupoid** of G. One easily checks that (TG, G, TM, M) is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.

Example 2.4 (Cotangent groupoid) Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. It was shown in [8], that the cotangent bundle T^*G is a Lie groupoid over A^*. The source and target maps are defined by

$$\tilde{s}(\alpha_g)u = \alpha_g(Tl_g(u - Tt(u))) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{t}(\beta_g)v = \beta_g(Tr_g(v))$$

where $\alpha_g \in T^*_gG$, $u \in A_{s(g)}G$ and $\beta_g \in T^*_gG$, $v \in A_{t(g)}G$. Here, l_g and r_g denote the left and right multiplication by $g \in G$, respectively. The multiplication on T^*G is defined by

$$(\alpha_g \circ \beta_h)(X_g \bullet Y_h) = \alpha_g(X_g) + \beta_h(Y_h)$$

for $(X_g, Y_h) \in T_{(g,h)}G_{(2)}$.

We refer to T^*G with the groupoid structure over A^* as the **cotangent groupoid** of G. One observes that (T^*G, G, A^*, M) is a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.

2.2 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

As for the case of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid, a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid can be thought of as a Lie algebroid object in the category of vector bundles. More precisely, one may consider a commutative square in which every arrow corresponds to the projection of a vector bundle structure:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{p} & A \\ {\scriptstyle p_D}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_A} \\ E & \xrightarrow{p_E} & M \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

We shall denote by m the homogeneous structure corresponding to the top horizontal bundle $D \to A$ and by m^E the one corresponding to $E \to M$.

As for $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids, we consider the next definition following [7], where the equivalence to other definitions can be found.

Definition 2.5 *A $\mathcal{VB}$-**algebroid** (D, A, E, M) is a diagram like (2.4) where the vertical arrows $D \longrightarrow E$ and $A \longrightarrow M$ are equipped with Lie algebroid structures such that $m_\lambda : D \to D$ defines a Lie algebroid morphism covering $m^E_\lambda : E \to E$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Given two $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids (D, A, E, M) and (D', A', E', M') a* **morphism of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids** *is a Lie algebroid morphism $\Phi : D \to D'$ covering a map $\phi : E \to E'$ which commutes with the underling homogeneous structures (m, m^E) and $(m', m^{E'})$.*

A **double vector bundle** is a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) as in diagram (2.4) in which both the vertical Lie algebroid structures are trivial. Hence, every $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid has an underlying double vector bundle structure, given by the same diagram (2.4) by forgetting the Lie algebroid structures defined on the vertical arrows.

We now briefly recall the general structure of double vector bundles and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids (see [15, 11] for a more detailed treatment). Given a double vector bundle (D, A, E, M), the vector

bundles A and E are called the **side bundles**. The zero sections are denoted by $0^A : M \longrightarrow A$, $0^E : M \longrightarrow E$, $0^D_A : A \longrightarrow D$ and $0^D_E : E \longrightarrow D$. Elements of D are written (d, a, e, m), where $d \in D$, $m \in M$ and $a = p(d) \in A_m$, $e = p_D(d) \in E_m$.

Definition 2.6 *The* ***core*** C *of a double vector bundle is the intersection of the kernels of* p *and* p_D*. It has a natural vector bundle structure over* M*, the projection of which we call* $p_C : C \longrightarrow M$*. The inclusion* $C \hookrightarrow D$ *is usually denoted by*

$$C_m \ni c \longmapsto \overline{c} \in p^{-1}(0^A_m) \cap p_D^{-1}(0^E_m).$$

Example 2.7 Given a vector bundle $E \to M$, the quadruple (TE, TM, E, M) defines a double vector bundle. Its core is given by $E \to M$ injected into TE as the vertical tangent space to the zero section of E. Moreover, considering the canonical Lie algebroid structures on $TE \to E$ and $TM \to M$, then (TE, TM, E, M) defines a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid.

Given a double vector bundle (D, A, E, M), the space of sections $\Gamma(E, D)$ is generated as a $C^\infty(E)$-module by two distinguished classes of sections, the *linear* and the *core sections* which we now describe. For a section $c : M \to C$, the corresponding **core section** $\hat{c} : E \to D$ is defined as

$$\hat{c}(e_m) = 0^D_E(e_m) +_A \overline{c(m)},\ m \in M,\ e_m \in E_m. \tag{2.5}$$

We denote the space of core sections by $\Gamma_c(E, D)$. A section $\chi \in \Gamma(E, D)$ is called **linear** if $\chi : E \to D$ is a vector bundle morphism covering a section $a : M \longrightarrow A$. The space of linear sections is denoted by $\Gamma_\ell(E, D)$. The definition of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid implies that ([11]) the following bracket conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Gamma_\ell(E, D), \Gamma_\ell(E, D)]_D \subset \Gamma_\ell(E, D),\\
&[\Gamma_\ell(E, D), \Gamma_c(E, D)]_D \subset \Gamma_c(E, D),\\
&[\Gamma_c(E, D), \Gamma_c(E, D)]_D = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the anchor map $\rho_D : D \to TE$ is a double vector bundle morphism covering the anchor map $\rho_A : A \longrightarrow TM$. Hence (see e.g. [15]) there is an induced bundle map $\partial : C \to E$ between core bundles (here, E is identified with the vertical bundle in TE at the zero section). This map is called the **core anchor** of D.

Example 2.8 Given a Lie algebroid $A \to M$, the application of the tangent functor to each of the structural maps defining the vector bundle $A \to M$ determines a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (TA, A, TM, M).

Example 2.9 Let $A \to M$ be a vector bundle. The cotangent bundle T^*A inherits a vector bundle structure over A^*. Moreover, if A is a Lie algebroid, then $T^*A \to A^*$ inherits a Lie algebroid structure making (T^*A, A, A^*, M) into a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. The Lie algebroid structure on $T^*A \to A^*$ can be described as follows. The Lie algebroid structure on A induces a linear Poisson structure on A^*, therefore, its cotangent bundle $T^*A^* \to A^*$ is equipped with a Lie algebroid structure. Then one uses a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles $T^*A \to T^*A^*$ covering the identity of A^*, in order to define the Lie algebroid structure on T^*A. For more details, see [15].

2.3 Lie theory for $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

Let $\boldsymbol{Grpd}$ denote the category of Lie groupoids and $\boldsymbol{Algd}$ the category of Lie algebroids. There is a functor $\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}: \boldsymbol{Grpd} \to \boldsymbol{Algd}$ that associates to a Lie groupoid G its Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G)$.

Not every Lie algebroid is of the form $A = \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G)$ for some Lie groupoid G. When it is the case, we say that A is **integrable** and that G integrates A. Moreover, for an integrable Lie algebroid A, there exists a unique **s**-simply connected Lie groupoid integrating A, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{G}(A)$ (more details in Section 3.1).

Similarly, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M) we obtain a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structure on the quadruple $(\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H), \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G), E, M)$ by applying the Lie functor to the structure map $q_H : H \to G$ (see [15]). In this case, we say that the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M) **integrates** the $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid $(\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H), \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G), E, M)$. We obtain a functor that we also denote by:

$$\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}: \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}},$$

where $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}$ denote the categories of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids, respectively.

Definition 2.10 A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) is called **integrable** if there exists a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M) such that $\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H, G, E, M)$ is isomorphic to (D, A, E, M) as a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid.

It turns out that (D, A, E, M) is integrable as a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid if and only if its top Lie algebroid structure $D \to E$ is integrable as a Lie algebroid (see [7]).

Theorem 2.11 ([7]) *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. If the Lie algebroid $D \to E$ is integrable, then the Lie algebroid $A \to M$ is integrable and $(\mathcal{G}(D), \mathcal{G}(A), E, M)$ admits a natural $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure integrating (D, A, E, M) as a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid.*

This result can be proved based on the characterization of vector bundles via homogeneous structures given in [13].

To end this subsection, we describe two natural operations in the category of vector bundles that restrict in a straightforward manner to $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids, namely, *pull-backs* and (in particular) *direct sums*.

Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid and (H, G, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid. Given another $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D', A, E', M), the sum $(D \oplus_A D', A, E \oplus_M E', M)$ inherits a natural $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structure. Analogously, using the obvious notations, $(H \oplus_G H', G, E \oplus_M E', M)$ inherits a natural $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure and

$$\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}\left(H \oplus_G H', G, E \oplus_M E', M\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H) \oplus_{\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G)} \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H'), \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G), E \oplus_M E', M\right)$$

More generally, given a Lie algebroid morphism $\phi : B \to A$ covering $f : N \to M$ and denoting $\phi^* D \to B$ the pull-back bundle associated to the vector bundle $D \to A$, one can show that $(\phi^* D, B, f^* E, N)$ inherits a natural $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structure. Analogously, if $F : G' \to G$ is a Lie groupoid morphism covering $f : N \to M$, one can show that $(F^* H, G', f^* E, N)$ inherits a natural $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure and that

$$\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}\left(F^* H, G', f^* E, N\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(F)^* \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(H), \mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{ie}(G'), f^* E, N\right)$$

The reader is refered to [7] for more details.

2.4 Splittings on a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid

Here we follow closely references [11, 12] to recall some facts about splittings of both $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids and $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids. For that, fix a double vector bundle (D, A, E, M) with core C.

Definition 2.12 *A* **splitting** *of (D, A, E, M) is a double vector bundle isomorpism $h : A \oplus E \oplus C \xrightarrow{\sim} D$. A double vector bundle of the form $A \oplus E \oplus C$ is said to be* split.

Example 2.13 Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle and (TE, TM, E, M) be the associated double vector bundle. One observes that splittings of TE are in one-to-one correspondence with linear TM-connections on E. Under this correspondence, the TM summand in $TM \oplus E \oplus E$ goes under h to the horizontal subspace of TE defined by the linear connection.

The space of linear sections $\Gamma_l(E, D)$ is locally free as a $C^\infty(M)$-module. Hence, there exists a vector bundle $\hat{A} \to M$ whose space of sections $\Gamma(\hat{A})$ identifies with $\Gamma_l(E, D)$ as a $C^\infty(M)$-module. Also, every linear section $\chi : E \to D$ covers a section $a : M \to A$, inducing an exact sequence of vector bundles over M:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E, C) \hookrightarrow \hat{A} \twoheadrightarrow A. \tag{2.6}$$

One can show (see [11]) that splittings of a double vector bundle (D, A, E, M) are in one-to-one correspondence with splittings $A \to \hat{A}$ of the short exact sequence (2.6). Hence, splittings always exist and they form an affine space modeled on $\mathrm{Hom}(A \otimes E, C)$.

When (D, A, E, M) has the structure of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid, one observes that $\hat{A} \to M$ is a Lie algebroid with bracket $[\chi_1, \chi_2]_{\hat{A}} = [\chi_1, \chi_2]_D$ and anchor map $\rho_{\hat{A}}(\chi) = \rho_A(a)$, for every $\chi \in \Gamma(\hat{A})$ linear section covering $a \in \Gamma(A)$. Also, the vector bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E, C) \to M$ is equipped with a Lie algebroid structure with the zero anchor map and bracket $[\phi, \psi] = \phi \circ \partial \circ \psi - \psi \circ \partial \circ \phi$, where $\partial : C \to E$ is the core anchor of D. Thus, the sequence (2.6) is an extension of Lie algebroids over M.

Example 2.14 Given a Lie algebroid $A \to M$, one can consider the tangent $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (TA, A, TM, M). In this case, the exact sequence (2.6) reads:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(TM, A) \hookrightarrow J^1(A) \twoheadrightarrow A,$$

where $J^1(A) \to M$ is the first jet algebroid associated to A.

There exists a natural representation of $\hat{A}$ on C (i.e. a flat $\hat{A}$-connection on C) given by

$$\Phi_\chi(c) := [\chi, \hat{c}]_D. \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly, there is a representation of $\hat{A}$ on E, defined as follows: since $\rho_D : D \to TE$ is a double vector bundle morphism, for each linear section $\chi \in \Gamma_l(E, D)$, the vector field $\rho_D(\chi) \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is linear, and hence $\mathcal{L}_{\rho_D(\chi)}(\xi) \in \Gamma(E^*)$ for every $\xi \in \Gamma(E^*) \cong C^\infty_{lin}(E)$, viewed as a fiberwise linear function on E. Thus, we obtain a representation Ψ of $\hat{A}$ on E by the formula

$$\langle \xi, \Psi_\chi(e) \rangle = \rho_{\hat{A}}(\chi)\langle \xi, e \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{\rho_D(\chi)}\xi, e \rangle, \tag{2.8}$$

for every $\chi \in \Gamma_l(E, D)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and $\Gamma(e)$.

Given a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M), the choice of a splitting h of D defines A-connections on E and C and an element $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$ as follows. First, ω measures the failure for h being a Lie algebroid morphism:

$$\omega(a, b) := h([a, b]_A) - [h(a), h(b)]_D. \tag{2.9}$$

The A-connections on E and C are defined by pulling back via, $h : A \to \hat{A}$, the $\hat{A}$-connections (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. We will refer to $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ as the **connection data** defined by the splitting h of (D, A, E, M). The double vector bundle isomorphism h induces a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structure on $A \oplus E \oplus C$. As explained in [11], this structure is completely determined by ∂ together with the connection data and the following compatibility conditions:

Lemma 2.15 *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid and $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ a connection data defined by a splitting h of D. Then the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial \circ \nabla^C = \nabla^E \circ \partial, && -\partial \circ \omega = R_{\nabla^E}, \\ &\nabla^{E,C}\omega = 0, && -\omega \circ \partial = R_{\nabla^C}. \end{aligned}$$

where R_{∇^E} (resp. R_{∇^E}) is the curvature of ∇^E (resp. ∇^C) and $\nabla^{E,C}$ denotes the A-connection on $\mathrm{Hom}(E, C)$ naturally induced from ∇^E and ∇^C.

Remark 2.16 ($\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid splittings) There is a similar statement for splittings of $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids that we briefly recall here (this will not be used in the sequel). For any $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M), there is an associated short exact sequence of vector bundles over G:

$$V^R \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow s^*E,$$

where $s : G \to M$ is the source map, and $V^R := \ker(q_H)$. One can show that there exists a vector bundle $C^R \to M$, called the **right core** of H, such that $V^R = 1^*C^R$, where $1 : M \to G$ denotes the unit map. A **right splitting** of (H, G, E, M) is a splitting h of the above short exact sequence such that $h(1_x, e) = \tilde{1}_e$, for all $x \in M$, $e \in E_x$ and with $\tilde{1} : E \to H$ denotes the identity map for H.

Such a splitting induces a vector bundle isomorphism $H \simeq V^R \oplus_G s^*E$, therefore a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure on $(V^R \oplus_G s^*E, G, E, M)$. These are completely determined by the data $(\partial, \Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega)$ where $\partial : C^R \to E$ is a bundle map, Δ^C, Δ^E are unital quasi-actions of G on C^R and E, respectively, and $\Omega \in C^2(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$ a normalized 2-cochain satisfying certain compatibility equations. The reader is refered to [12] for further details.

3 Integrability of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

In this section, we explain how the general theory of integrability for Lie algebroid specializes to the case of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids.

3.1 General theory of obstructions

We briefly recall the construction of the Weinstein groupoid of a Lie algebroid, and of the monodromy groups that control its smoothness. The reader is refered to [10] for a full exposition.

Let A be a Lie algebroid over M. An **A-path** is a Lie algebroid morphism $TI \to A$ where $I = [0,1]$. We will denote by $P(A)$ the set of all A-paths. An **A-homotopy with fixed endpoints** between two A-paths is a Lie algebroid morphism $h : TI^2 \to A$ satisfying the boundary conditions $h|_{Te_v(0)} = h|_{Te_v(1)} = 0$, where $e_v(t) = \{(t,s) : s \in I\} \hookrightarrow I^2$ denotes the vertical lines in I^2. The Weinstein (or fundamental) groupoid associated to A is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}(A) := P(A)\,/\sim$$

where $\sim$ denotes the equivalence relation defined by A-homotopies.

The set $P(A)$ can be endowed with the structure of a Banach manifold structure from which $\mathcal{G}(A)$ inherits a topology. The concatenation of A-paths endows $\mathcal{G}(A)$ with the further structure of a topological groupoid over M, which is source simply connected by construction. The source and target maps correspond to the initial and final points, respectively, of the base map $\gamma : I \to M$ of the A-path.

The Weinstein groupoid is universal in the sense that a Lie algebroid admits a smooth integration $A = \mathcal{L}ie(G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is a Lie (hence smooth) groupoid. In this case, there is always a covering map map $\mathcal{G}(A) \to G$, and $A = \mathcal{L}ie(\mathcal{G}(A))$.

As explained in [10], one can study the problem of the integrability of a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ by looking at its **monodromy groups**. Given a point $x \in M$, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_x^A = \ker(\rho_A|_x)$ the isotropy Lie algebra at $x \in M$. Then the monodromy group at x consists of all elements in $\ker(\rho_A)$ that are A-homotopic to a trivial path. That is,

$$\mathcal{N}(A)_x := \left\{ a \in \mathfrak{g}_x^A : \ a \sim 0_x \right\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_x^A$$

Here, both a, $0_x \in \mathfrak{g}_x^A$ are seen as constant A-paths. The union of the monodromy groups over $x \in M$ is usually denoted by $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset A$. The monodromy groups control the integrability of a Lie algebroid in the sense of the theorem below.

Theorem 3.1 ([10]) *Let $p_A : A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid and $\mathcal{G}(A)$ its Weinstein groupoid. The following assertions are equivalent:*

i) A is integrable.

ii) $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is smooth.

iii) Any sequence $(a_n) \subset \mathcal{N}(A)$ converging to a trivial element 0_x consists of trivial elements for n big enough.

3.2 Obstructions to the integration of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

We now turn to the problem of integrability of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid D. More precisely, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) we address the problem of the existence of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (H, G, E, M) integrating it.

First we remind the reader that for this to happen, it is enough that D be integrable as a mere Lie algebroid. Indeed, if D is integrable, then Theorem 2.11 guarantees that the s-simply connected Lie groupoid integrating D comes canonically equipped with the structure of a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid.

The next observation is that for D to be integrable, A needs to be integrable as well.

Proposition 3.2 *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. If D is integrable then A is integrable.*

PROOF: It follows from the definition of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid that the zero section $0_A^D : A \hookrightarrow D$ defines a Lie algebroid morphism. This makes A into a Lie subalgebroid of D. In particular, A is integrable whenever D is integrable. □

It is important to observe that the converse of Proposition 3.2 does not hold in general (see Example 3.20). In the sequel, we always assume that A is integrable, and then we find obstructions for D being integrable. We start with a general observation regarding the integrability of Lie algebroids.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $A_1 \to M_1$ and $A_2 \to M_2$ be Lie algebroids, and $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ a Lie algebroid morphism. If A_2 is integrable and $\mathcal{N}(A_1)_{x_1} \cap \ker(\phi|_{x_1}) = \{0\}$ for all $x_1 \in M_1$, then A_1 is integrable.*

PROOF: Consider a sequence of monodromy elements $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{N}(A_1)$ converging to 0_x for some $x \in M_1$. Then $(\phi(v_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{N}(A_2)$ converging to $0_{\phi(x)}$. Since A_2 is integrable, $\phi(v_n) = 0_{x_n}$ for n big enough, thus $v_n \in \ker\phi = \{0_{x_n}\}$ for n large, which proves that A_1 is integrable. □

From a general perspective, Lemma 3.3 may seem far from an optimal criterium for integrability (think of the identity map $A \to A$, or a trivial map $A \to 0$). However, in the case of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid, it turns out to give a sufficient condition.

Theorem 3.4 *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. Then, D is integrable if and only if A is integrable and $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p = \{0\}$.*

PROOF: Assume that A is integrable and $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p = \{0\}$. Since $p : D \to A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism, we conclude that D is integrable by Lemma 3.3. Conversely, if D is integrable, then Proposition 3.2 implies that A is integrable as well. Now, suppose that there exists a non trivial element $\xi \in \mathcal{N}(D)_x \cap \ker p|_x$. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $m_\lambda : D \to D, \zeta \mapsto \lambda\zeta$, the dilation map induced by the homogeneous structure of $D \to A$. Consider now a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ converging to zero. Then $(m_{\lambda_n}(\xi))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of non trivial elements of $\mathcal{N}(D)$ which converges to $0 \in A \subset D$. This contradicts the integrability of D. □

Below, we list a few immediate consequences of Theorem 3.3. Given a leaf $L \subset M$ of A, we denote by A_L the restriction of A to L, and by:

$$(D|_{A_L} := i_{A_L}^* D, A_L, E|_L, L), \tag{3.10}$$

the pull-back $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid along the injection $i_{A_L} : A_L \hookrightarrow A$. Alternatively, one observes that $D|_{A_L} = p^{-1}(A_L)$.

Corollary 3.5 *Let D be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid over A. Then D is integrable if and only if A is integrable and $D|_{A_L}$ is integrable for each leaf $L \subset M$ of A.*

PROOF: Since any Lie algebroid morphism $B \to A$ co-restricts to a map $B \to A_L$ for some leaf L of A, this holds in particular for D-homotopies. Therefore, the monodromy groups of D over points of $E|_L$ coincide with the monodromy groups of $D|_{A_L}$. Then, one observes that the condition in Theorem 3.4 needs to be checked only on $D|_{A_L}$ for each leaf L of A. □

Remark 3.6 Corollary 3.5 may seem somewhat unexpected. Indeed, the obstructions to the integrability of an arbitrary Lie algebroid have both a longitudinal and a transverse nature with respect to the base foliation. In practice, this means that one has to compute the monodromy groups leafwise, and then make sure that they do not admit any accumulation point when moving from one leaf to another. From this point of view, a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid shows a much more rigid behaviour, since one has to check the *vanishing* of the intersection $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p$, which can be done independently over A_L for each leaf L.

Corollary 3.7 *Any $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid with injective core anchor $C \hookrightarrow E$ is integrable.*

PROOF: For an arbitrary $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid, there is an obvious inclusion $\mathcal{N}(D)_x \cap \ker p|_x \subset \{x\} \times \ker \partial$ for any $x \in E$. When the core anchor is injective, it follows that $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p = \{0\}$. □

3.3 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids of regular type

As we shall see, it is interesting to specialize the discussion of integrability to the case of regular $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids. First, recall some basic definitions from [11].

Definition 3.8 *A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) is called **regular** if the core anchor $\partial : C \to E$ has constant rank.*

Definition 3.9 *A regular $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid is called of **type** 0 (respectively of **type** 1), if the core anchor $\partial : C \to E$ is zero (respectively an isomorphism).*

Example 3.10 Given an arbitrary $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) and a leaf L of A, the restriction $(D|_{A_L}, A_L, E|_L, L)$ defined by (3.10) is always regular. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{g}_e^D = \ker(\rho_D|_e)$ denote the isotropy Lie algebra of D at $e \in E$. It is easy to check that, for $e = 0_x$, $\mathfrak{g}_{0_x}^D = \mathfrak{g}_x^A \oplus \ker(\partial_x)$ for any $x \in M$. Now, for any Lie algebroid, the isotropy Lie algebras have constant rank along its leaves. By noticing that as x varies within L one has that 0_x varies inside a fixed leaf of D, we get that both $\mathfrak{g}_{0_x}^D$ and $\mathfrak{g}_x^A$ have constant rank over $x \in L$. We thus deduce that the core anchor ∂_x has constant rank when x varies within a leaf L of A.

It follows from Corollary 3.5 and Example 3.10 that one is always led to deal with the regular case. Furthermore, as shown in [11], any regular $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid can be decomposed in type 0 and type 1 components. For this reason, we start by looking at each case separately.

Integrability of type 1 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

By Corollary 3.7, a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of type 1 (D_1, A, E_1, M) is integrable if and only if A is integrable as well. In this case, one can even describe the integration explicitly. Indeed, it is shown in [11] that there always exists an isomorphism of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids:

$$D_1 \simeq \rho_A^*(TE_1), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\rho_A^*(TE_1)$ denotes the pull-back $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of (TE_1, TM, E_1, M) by the Lie algebroid morphism $\rho_A : A \to TM$. If G is a Lie groupoid integrating A, we denote by $(s,t)^*(E_1 \times E_1)$ the pull-back $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid of $(E_1 \times E_1, M \times M, E_1, M)$ by the Lie groupoid morphism $(s,t) : G \to M \times M$. Then we have:

Proposition 3.11 *Let (D_1, A, E_1, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of type 1 and G any integration of A. Then, the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid $(s,t)^*(E_1 \times E_1)$ integrates D_1.*

PROOF: This a direct consequence of the fact that the $\mathcal{Lie}$ functor from $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids to $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids commutes with pull-backs. □

Integrability of type 0 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

Let us consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D_0, A, E_0, M) of type 0, and denote by C_0 its core. As explained in [11], isomorphism classes of type 0 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids correspond to triples $(\nabla^{E_0}, \nabla^{C_0}, [\omega_0])$ where ∇^{E_0} and ∇^{C_0} are flat A-connections on E_0 and C_0 respectively, together with a class $[\omega_0] \in H^2(A; \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0))$.

The triple $(\nabla^{E_0}, \nabla^{C_0}, [\omega_0])$ is obtained by choosing a splitting of D_0 as explained in Subsection 2.4. One observes that different choices of a splitting induce the same flat A connections ∇^{E_0}, ∇^{C_0} on E_0 and C_0, while modifying ω_0 by an exact 2-form in the cohomology of A with values in the representation $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)$ (see [11]). Alternatively, one can see a change of splitting as a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid automorphism of D_0 covering the identity on A.

Assume now that A is integrable, and let G be a Lie groupoid integrating A. If the the above flat connections integrate to representations of G on both E_0 and C_0, then there is an induced representation of G on $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)$. Moreover, following [9], one obtains a **Van Est map**

$$\mathsf{VE} : H^\bullet(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(A, \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)),$$

that takes cohomology classes of the groupoid cohomology to cohomology classes of the underlying Lie algebroid. Assume that there exists $\Omega_0 \in H^2(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0))$ such that $\mathsf{VE}(\Omega_0) = [\omega_0]$.

In [12], it is shown that the induced representations of G on E_0 and C_0 together with Ω_0 define a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid that we shall denote by $(H_{0,\Omega_0}, G, E_0, M)$.

Recall that, if G is the Weinstein groupoid of A, then the representations ∇^{E_0} and ∇^{C_0} always integrate to representations of G on E_0 and C_0, respectively. Moreover, in this case, the Van Est map between degree 2-cohomologies is *injective*.

Finally, we recall the following definition.

Definition 3.12 *An A-sphere is a Lie algebroid morphism $\sigma : TI^2 \to A$ with $I = [0,1]$ satisfying $\sigma|_{T\partial I^2} = 0$. The second A-homotopy group $\pi_2(A)$ is given by A-homotopy classes of such spheres (see [6] for further details). The boundary ∂I^2 is mapped to a point $x \in M$ called the base point of the sphere.*

Let W be a vector bundle over M and A a Lie algebroid over M. Suppose that ∇ is a flat A-connection on W, $\sigma : TI^2 \to A$ an A-sphere covering $\gamma : I^2 \to M$ and $\omega \in \Omega^2(A, W) := \Gamma_M \Lambda^2 A^* \otimes W$ a 2-form on A with values in W. We denote by:

$$\int_\sigma \omega := \int_{I^2} \tau(\sigma^* \omega)$$

where $\tau : \sigma^* W \to I^2 \times W_{\gamma(0,0)}$ is the trivialization induced by the holonomy of the flat pull-back TI^2-connection $\sigma^*\nabla$ on $\gamma^* W$. Notice that ∇ induces a differential on $\Omega^\cdot(A, W)$ and that the operation $\omega \mapsto \tau(\sigma^*\omega)$ transforms this differential into de Rham differential on $\Omega(TI^2, W_{(0,0)})$. We thus have an induced map

$$\int : \pi_2(A,x) \times H^2(A,W) \to W_x.$$

The integrability problem for type 0 $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids can be summarized in the following way.

Proposition 3.13 *Let (D_0, A, E_0, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of type 0 with underlying class $[\omega_0] \in H^2(A; \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0))$. Then D_0 is integrable if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:*

i) A is integrable and the periods of ω_0 vanish, namely: for every $[\sigma] \in \pi_2(A)$, we have:

$$\int_\sigma \omega_0 = 0,$$

ii) A is integrable and $[\omega_0]$ lies in the image of the Van Est map, namely:

$$\exists \Omega_0 \in H^2(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)) \,/\, \mathsf{VE}(\Omega_0) = \omega_0.$$

Moreover, if it is the case, then the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid H_{0,Ω_0} integrates D_0.

Proof:

First we shall show that for any $x \in E_0$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(D_0)_x \cap \ker(p) = \left\{ \int_\sigma \omega_0 : [\sigma] \in \pi_2(A,x) \right\}.$$

The first assertion will then follow from Theorem 3.4. Consider an element $v \in \mathcal{N}(D_0)_x \cap \ker(p)$. By definition, there exist a D_0-homotopy $h : TI^2 \to D_0$ between the constant D_0-paths v and 0^D_x. The map $\sigma := p \circ h : TI^2 \to A$ then defines an A-sphere by direct inspection of the boundary conditions $h|_{T\partial I^2}$. We denote by $\gamma : I^2 \to M$ the base map of h.

We claim that, for any such homotopy h, v is entirely determined by σ, namely:

$$v = \int_\sigma \omega_0,$$

thus finishing the first part of the proof. In order to prove this claim, we observe that h is a Lie algebroid morphism if and only if the following two conditions hold: $\sigma : TI^2 \to A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism, and $\tilde{h} : TI^2 \to \sigma^* D$ a Lie algebroid morphism covering the identity on TI^2. Here $(\sigma^* D, TI^2, \gamma^* E, I^2)$ denotes the pull-back $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid, which is also of type 0 and has core $\gamma^* C_0$, and $\tilde{h}$ is the naturally induced map from h given by co-restriction:



As we know, any splitting of D_0 induces a connection data $(\nabla^E_0, \nabla^C_0, \omega)$, where the A-connections are flat and the 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(A, \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0))$ is a cocycle in the corresponding cohomology of A with values in the representation $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, C_0)$.

Via a pull-back, we obtain a splitting $\sigma^* D \simeq TI^2 \oplus \gamma^* E_0 \oplus \gamma^* C_0$. The flat A-connections on E_0 and C_0 induce flat TI^2-connections on the bundles $\gamma^* E_0, \gamma^* C_0$ and a closed 2-form

$\sigma^*\omega \in \Omega^2(I^2, \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma^*E_0, \gamma^*C_0))$ with values on the flat bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma^*E_0, \gamma^*C_0)$. Therefore, this bundle can thus be trivialized over I^2 by means of parallel transport τ_0^C, τ_0^E to the corresponding fiber over $(0,0)$.

Under these identifications, the map $\tilde{h}$ corresponds to a section of γ^*E_0, together with a 1-form $\psi \in \Omega^1(I^2, C_{\gamma(0,0)})$ taking values in the vector space $C_{\gamma(0,0)}$. The homotopy h being a Lie algebroid morphism translates into the fact that ψ is fully determined by $d\psi = \tau_0^C \circ \sigma^*\omega \circ \tau_0^E(x)$ and the boundary conditions. Integrating both sides over I^2 and using Stokes' theorem together with the boundary conditions $\psi(t,1) = 0$, $\psi(t,0) = v$ for any $t \in I$, we get the desired formula for v.

The equivalence of items *i*) and *ii*) is just a restatement of the characterization given in [9, Cor. 2] of the image of the Van Est map. □

Integrability of general regular type $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids

Consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) of regular type. We recall from [11] that such a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid can be decomposed into type 0 and type 1 components.

Theorem 3.14 ([11]) *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of regular type. There exists (unique up to isomorphism) $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids (D_0, A, E_0, M) and (D_1, A, E_1, M) respectively of type 0 and type 1, such that $D \simeq D_0 \oplus_A D_1$.*

Regarding the decomposition as in Theorem 3.14, notice that, up to an isomorphism, one can always assume that the type 0 component D_0 has core $C_0 = \ker \partial$ and side $E_0 = \mathrm{coker}\, \partial$, equipped with their natural flat A-connections $\nabla^{\mathrm{Im}\,\partial}$ and $\nabla^{\ker \partial}$. Moreover, given a splitting of D_0, the class $[\omega_0] \in H^2(A_L, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\, \partial, \ker \partial))$ is independant of the splitting (see [11] for details).

Proposition 3.15 *Let (D, A, E, M) be a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of regular type. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) D is integrable,

(ii) D_0 is integrable,

(iii) A is integrable and the periods of $\omega_0 \in \Omega^2(A, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\, \partial, \ker \partial))$ vanish, namely

$$\int_\sigma \omega_0 = 0, \text{ for every } [\sigma] \in \pi_2(A),$$

(iv) A is integrable and $[\omega_0]$ lies in the image of the Van Est map, namely:

there exists $\Omega_0 \in H^2\big(\mathcal{G}(A), \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\, \partial, \ker \partial)\big)$ such that $\mathsf{VE}(\Omega_0) = \omega_0$.

Moreover, in this case, the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid $\mathcal{G}(D) := (s,t)^*(E_1 \oplus E_1) \oplus_G H_{0,\Omega_0}$ integrates D.

Proof: This follows from Theorem 3.14, Propositions 3.11 and 3.13, and from the fact that the functor $\mathcal{Lie}$ preserves direct sums. □

3.4 Computing the obstructions

The aim of this section is to provide an integral formula expressing the obstructions to integrability of D in terms of global data. More precisely, a formula that, for an arbitrary $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (not necessarily regular) and an arbitrary splitting, provides the obstructions to its integrability.

Consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) together with a splitting, and denote by $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ the corresponding connection data. For any A-sphere $\sigma = a(t,s)dt + b(t,s)dt : TI^2 \to A$ based at $m \in M$, we shall call the **period of ω along σ**, denoted as an integral, the following expression:

$$\int_\sigma^\nabla \omega(x) := \int_0^1\int_0^1 \mathrm{hol}^C_{a^s_{1,t}} \circ \omega(a,b)_{\gamma^s_t} \circ \mathrm{hol}^E_{a^s_{t,0}}(x) dtds \in C_m, \tag{3.12}$$

where $x \in E_m$. See Appendix A for the notational conventions regarding holonomies associated to σ. We shall think of the above integral as an integration operator:

$$\int^\nabla \omega : \pi_2(A,m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E_m, C_m).$$

Remark 3.16 Let us briefly comment on the notation: the formula (3.12) makes sense for an arbitrary form $\omega \in \Omega^2(A, \mathrm{Hom}(E,C))$, and the superscript on the integral is meant to emphasize the fact that the integral depends on the choice of a splitting. However, applied to the curvature ω of a given splitting, and applying the integration (3.12) along the couple of connections $\nabla = (\nabla^E, \nabla^C)$, the result is independent of the chosen splitting as we shall see below.

In order to explain why the formula 3.12 provides the obstructions to integrability, we first choose a leaf L of A. Since the restriction $D|_{A_L}$ is of regular type, we can consider a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{T} : D|_{A_L} \longrightarrow \overline{D}_L = \overline{D}_{0,L} \oplus_A \overline{D}_{1,L}, \qquad \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0 \oplus \mathcal{T}_1,$$

where $D_{i,L}$ is of type $i = 0, 1$. We shall furthermore denote by:

$$[\overline{\omega}_{0,L}] \in H^2(A_L, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\,\overline{\partial}_L, \ker \overline{\partial}_L))$$

the class coming from the type 0 part. Notice that $\mathcal{T}$ induces isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^C &: C|_L \longrightarrow \overline{C}_L = \overline{C}_{0,L} \oplus \overline{C}_{1,L}, \qquad \mathcal{T}^C = \mathcal{T}^C_0 \oplus \mathcal{T}^C_1, \\ \mathcal{T}^E &: E|_L \longrightarrow \overline{E}_L = \overline{E}_{0,L} \oplus \overline{E}_{1,L}, \qquad \mathcal{T}^E = \mathcal{T}^E_0 \oplus \mathcal{T}^E_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, recall that since every Lie algebroid morphism $B \to A$ factors through a (unique) morphism $B \to A_L$ for some leaf $L \subset M$ of A, every $[\sigma] \in \pi_2(A)$ factors through a unique $[\sigma_L] \in \pi_2(A_L)$ for some leaf L of A.

Lemma 3.17 *For any splitting of D, and any $[\sigma] \in \pi_2(A_L, m)$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{T}^C\left(\int_\sigma^\nabla \omega(x)\right) = 0 \oplus \int_\sigma \overline{\omega}_{0,L}(\overline{x}_0) \in \overline{C}_{1,L} \oplus \overline{C}_{0,L}, \quad \forall x \in E|_L \tag{3.13}$$

where $\overline{x}_0 := \mathcal{T}^E_0(x)$.

PROOF: Let us denote by $I(x) \in \overline{C}$ the left hand side of the equation (3.13). In terms of the decompositions $E|_L = (\mathcal{T}^E)^{-1}(E_{0,L}) \oplus \operatorname{Im}\partial$ and $\overline{C}_L = \overline{C}_{0,L} \oplus \overline{C}_{1,L}$, we have an induced block decomposition

$$I(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x = x_0 \oplus x_1 \in E$, with $x_1 \in \operatorname{Im}\partial$. Let $\overline{\partial}$ denote the core anchor of $\overline{D}_L$. We claim that $\overline{\partial} I(x) = 0$ for any $x \in E$, and $I(\partial c) = 0$ for any $c \in C$. For instance, we compute that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\partial} I(x) &= \overline{\partial}\,\mathcal{T}^C \int_0^1\!\!\int_0^1 \operatorname{hol}^C_{a^s_{1,t}} \circ\, \omega(a,b)_{\gamma^s_t} \circ \operatorname{hol}^E_{a^s_{t,0}}(x) dt ds \\
&= \mathcal{T}^C \int_0^1\!\!\int_0^1 \partial \operatorname{hol}^C_{a^s_{1,t}} \circ\, \omega(a,b)_{\gamma^s_t} \circ \operatorname{hol}^E_{a^s_{t,0}}(x) dt ds \\
&= \mathcal{T}^C \int_0^1\!\!\int_0^1 \operatorname{hol}^E_{a^s_{1,t}} \circ\, \partial\, \omega(a,b)_{\gamma^s_t} \circ \operatorname{hol}^E_{a^s_{t,0}}(x) dt ds \\
&= \mathcal{T}^C \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \operatorname{hol}^E_{a^s_{1,0}}(x) ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used successively the fact that $\mathcal{T}$ preserves core anchors, then the relations in Lemma 2.15, and finally Lemma A.18. The last integral vanishes as a consequence of the boundary conditions defining σ as an A-sphere. One proves that $I(\partial c) = 0$ in an analogous way.

We conclude that in the block decomposition, we have $I_{12}(x) = I_{21}(x) = I_{22}(x) = 0$. Furthermore, by considering a similar block decomposition for the integrand $i(x)$ of $I(x)$, and by following the proof of [11, Thm 6.6], we see that since $D|_{A_L}$ and $\overline{D}_L$ are both of regular type and isomorphic, i_{11} necessarily differs from ω_L by an exact term which, in turn, vanishes upon integration by the boundary conditions. □

By applying Lemma 3.17 and Theorem 3.4, we see that (3.12) gives an integral expression for the obstructions in terms of a global splitting of D. Therefore, one can state the following:

Theorem 3.18 *A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) is integrable if and only if A is integrable and, for any connection data $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ induced by a splitting of D, the periods of ω vanish, namely:*

$$\forall [\sigma] \in \pi_2(A), \quad \int_\sigma^\nabla \omega = 0.$$

Remark 3.19 A $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid algebroid is a special case of a Lie algebroid extension:

$$\ker p \hookrightarrow D \twoheadrightarrow A,$$

and it is not hard to see that the choice of a splitting induces a complete Ehresmann connection in the sense of [5]. Therefore, D can be seen as a Lie algebroid fibration over A and by the results in [6], similarly to the case of usual fibrations, there is a transgresion map $\partial : \pi_2(A) \to \mathcal{G}(\ker p)$ that fits into a long exact sequence of homotopy. The integral formula in Theorem 3.18 coincides with the transgression map of [6]. Therefore, it should not be so surprising that it measures the integrability of D, as the image of such transgression maps are related to the integrability of the fibration as was observed in [5]. We shall expand on this aspect of things in a future work.

We conclude this section with two explicit examples. We focus on $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids of type 0, since this is where the obstructions are concentrated by the previous discussion.

Example 3.20 (A non integrable $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid) Consider $E = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, seen as a trivial vector bundle over $M = S^2$, and C another trivial, rank one vector bundle over S^2, admitting $\overline{c}$ as non vanishing section.

We set $A := TS^2$, and define on $D := A \oplus E \oplus C$ a Lie algebroid structure by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} [X,Y]_D(e) &= [X,Y] + e\ \omega_0(X,Y)\cdot\overline{c}, & \rho_D(X) &= X,\\ [X,\overline{c}]_D &= 0, & \rho_D(\overline{c}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TS^2)$ and where ω_0 denotes the standard symplectic structure on S^2. Then the projection $p(X + \overline{c}) := X$ defines on D the structure of a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of type 0 over TS^2, so that the diagram (2.4) reads:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\ p\ } & TS^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^2 \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & S^2 \end{array}$$

Here, D is split with horizontal lifting map $h(X) = X$ and the curvature is given by:

$$\omega = \omega_0 \otimes (e^* \otimes \overline{c}) \in \Omega^2(S, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$$

In order to show that D is not integrable, we will prove that D is isomorphic to a Lie algebroid associated to a non integrable Poisson structure. To do this, we first introduce coordinates (x, y, z) on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and use the embedding $S^2 = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. We shall denote by dx, dy, dz the restrictions of the differentials to TS^2.

The standard symplectic form on S^2 has Poisson bivector given as follows:

$$\pi_{S^2} = x\partial y_\wedge \partial z|_{S^2} \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TS^2).$$

On E, we consider the following Poisson structure, obtained by rescaling:

$$\pi_E = (1 + e^2/2)x\partial y_\wedge \partial z|_{S^2} \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TE).$$

Then T^*E identifies with $T^*S^2 \times T^*\mathbb{R}$ with Lie bracket and anchor given by:

$$\begin{aligned} [dx, dy] &= (1 + e^2/2)dz + e.de, & \rho_E(dx) &= (1 + e^2/2)(z\partial y - y\partial z),\\ [dx, de] &= 0, & \rho_E(de) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

together with the cyclic permutations on the variables x, y, z. Then we define an isomorphism of Lie algebroids $\psi : T^*E \to D$ by setting:

$$\psi(dx) = \left(1 + e^2/2\right)\cdot \rho_S(dx), \qquad \psi(de) = \left(1 + e^2/2\right)^2 \cdot \overline{c},$$

where ρ_S denotes the anchor associated with π_{S^2}. The symplectic foliation of T^*E is given by symplectic spheres of the form:

$$\left(S^2 \times \{e\}, (1 + e^2/2)^{-1} \cdot \omega_0\right)$$

Then $S^2 \times \{e\}$ has area $A(r,e) = 4\pi(1+e^2/2)^{-1}$, therefore, by the usual argument of variation of symplectic area, the monodromy groups of T^*E are given by:

$$\mathcal{N}(T^*E) = \frac{4\pi e de}{(1+e^2/2)^2} \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

By applying $\psi : T^*E \to D$, we deduce that the monodromy groups of D are given as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(D) = 4\pi e\overline{c} \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we observe that the monodromy is concentrated in the kernel, $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p = 4\pi e\overline{c} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ therefore there are accumulation points and D is not integrable.

The following example, although quite similar, will prove to be integrable. It illustrates in particular how to apply Theorem 3.4.

Example 3.21 (An integrable $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid) Consider the trivial vector bundle $E := \mathfrak{su}_2^* \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M := \mathfrak{su}_2^*$. We will use coordinates (x,y,z,e) on E, where (x,y,z) denote coordinates on $\mathfrak{su}_2^*$. On M, we shall consider the standard linear Poisson structure, given by:

$$\pi_M = x\partial y_\wedge \partial z,$$

and denote by $A := T^*M$ the associated Lie algebroid. Then we define a rank four Lie algebroid D over E, trivial as a vector bundle over E with $\overline{u}$, $\overline{v}$, $\overline{w}$, $\overline{c}$ as a basis of sections, by declaring the bracket and anchor to be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{u},\overline{v}] &= \overline{w} + ze\overline{c}, & \rho(\overline{u}) &= z\partial y - y\partial z, \\ [\overline{u},\overline{c}] &= 0, & \rho(\overline{c}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

together with the relations obtained by cyclic permutation over couples $(\overline{u},x),(\overline{v},y)$ and $(\overline{w},z)$. On D, we define a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structure over T^*M by setting: $p(\overline{u}) = dx,\ p(\overline{v}) = dy,\ p(\overline{w}) = dz$, and $p(\overline{c}) = 0$. The diagram 2.4 thus reads as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\ p\ } & T^*\mathfrak{su}_2^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{su}_2^* \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{su}_2^* \end{array}$$

Notice that by construction, we have $D = A \oplus E \oplus C = \mathrm{Span}\{\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}\} \oplus \mathrm{Span}\{\overline{c}\}$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} A \oplus E &= \mathrm{Span}\{\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}\}, \\ E \oplus C &= \mathrm{Span}\{\overline{c}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid of type 0. The horizontal lifts are given by $h(dx) = \overline{u},\ h(dy) = \overline{v},\ h(dz) = \overline{w}$, and we easily check that the curvature reads:

$$\omega = \pi \otimes (e \otimes \overline{c}) \in \Omega^2\left(T^*M, \mathrm{Hom}(E,C)\right).$$

Here $e \in E^*$ is seens as a linear function. In order to study the integrability of D, we introduce the following Poisson structure on E:

$$\pi_E = \left(1+e^2/2\right) \cdot x\partial y_\wedge \partial z.$$

The corresponding Lie algebroid $T^*E = T^*M \times T^*\mathbb{R}$ has bracket and anchor given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &[dx, dy]_E = (1 + e^2/2)dz + ezde, && \rho_E(dx) = \left(1 + e^2/2\right)(z\partial y - y\partial z), \\ &[dx, de]_E = 0, && \rho_E(de) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

together with the relations obtained by cyclic permutations over $\{x, y, z\}$. A straightforward computation then shows that one defines an isomorphism of Lie algebroids $\psi : T^*E \to D$ covering the identity on E by setting:

$$\psi(dx) = \left(1 + e^2/2\right) \cdot \overline{u}, \qquad \psi(de) = \left(1 + e^2/2\right)^2 \cdot \overline{c},$$

together with the cyclic permutations over $\{(\overline{u}, x), (\overline{v}, y), (\overline{w}, z)\}$. We now compute the monodromy groups. The symplectic foliation of T^*E is given by symplectic spheres of the form:

$$\left(S^2_r \times \{e\}, (1 + e^2/2)^{-1} r \cdot \omega_0\right)$$

where $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and ω_0 is the standard symplectic form. Then $S^2_r \times \{e\}$ has area $A(r, e) = 4\pi r(1 + e^2/2)^{-1}$, therefore, by the usual argument of variation of symplectic area, the monodromy groups of T^*E are given by dA, namely:

$$\mathcal{N}(T^*E) = 4\pi \left(\frac{dr}{1 + e^2/2} - \frac{rede}{(1 + e^2/2)^2} \right) \cdot \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{if } r \neq 0$$

and $\mathcal{N}(T^*E)$ vanishes on $\{r = 0\}$. By applying $\psi : T^*E \to D$, we deduce that the monodromy groups of D are given as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(D) = \ 4\pi \left(r^{-1}(x\overline{u} + y\overline{v} + z\overline{w}) - re\overline{c}\right) \cdot \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } r \neq 0$$

Here we observe that $\mathcal{N}(D) \cap \ker p = \{0\}$, therefore D is integrable.

4 Integrating 2-term representations up to homotopy

In this section, we study Lie theory of 2-term representations up to homotopy by translating the results already obtained for $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids and using the equivalence of [11] (see also Subsection 2.4).

4.1 Representations up to homotopy

Let A be a Lie algebroid and $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$ be the graded vector bundle with C in degree 0 and E in degree 1. An **A-superconnection** on $\mathcal{E}$ is an operator $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(\Lambda A^*) \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \Gamma(\Lambda A^*) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that it is of degree 1 with respect to the total grading and that is a graded derivation of the natural $\Gamma(\Lambda A^*)$-module structure. The superconnection is said to be **flat** if $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$.

Definition 4.1 *A representation up to homotopy of A on $\mathcal{E}$ is a flat A-superconnection on $\mathcal{E}$.*

The notion of morphism between representations up to homotopy can be found in [1] (see also [11]). We denote by $\mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$ the category of 2-term representations up to homotopy of A. Let $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}(A)$ denote the category of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids of the form (D, A, E, M) with A a given Lie algebroid over M.

Proposition 4.2 *[11] Let A be a Lie algebroid. There is a one-to-one correspondence between representations up to homotopy A on $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$ and $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structures on the split double vector bundle $A \oplus E \oplus C$.*

This correspondence is based on the decomposition of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the bi-grading, from which one extracts a data $(\partial, \nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ as in Subsection 2.4. We denote by:

$$\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathcal{R}\boldsymbol{ep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}}(A) \to \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}(A)$$

the corresponding functor.

Conversely, given a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) with core bundle C, then the choice of a horizontal lift $h : A \to \hat{A}$ determines a 2-term representation up to homotopy on $C \oplus E$ from the underlying connection data. The above correspondence takes isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid structures on the double vector bundle (D, A, E, M) with core C to isomorphism classes of representation up to homotopy of A on $C \oplus E$ bijectively (see e.g. [11]).

Consider now a Lie groupoid G over M. There exists a notion of representation up to homotopy of G on a graded vector bundle over M, which is phrased in cohomological terms. For details see [2] and [12]. However, in the special case of 2-term representations (i.e. those concentrated in degree 0 and 1), an equivalent notion will be used in this work. For that, recall that any vector bundle $E \to M$ determines a smooth category $L(E)$ whose objects are elements of M, and morphisms between $x, y \in M$ are linear maps $E_x \to E_y$ (not necessarily invertible). We say that a smooth map $\Delta : G \to L(E)$ is a **quasi-action** of G on E if Δ preserves both source and target maps. A quasi-action is said to be **unital** if it preserves the units (i.e. it is the identity on objects) and **flat** if it preserves composition. Notice that a representation of G on E is just a flat unital quasi-action $\Delta : G \to L(E)$ such that $\Delta_g : E_{s(g)} \to E_{t(g)}$ is invertible for each $g \in G$.

Definition 4.3 *Let G be a Lie groupoid over M. A **representation up to homotopy** of G on the graded vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$, is given by a quadruple $(\partial, \Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega)$, where $\partial : C \to E$ is a bundle map, Δ^C and Δ^E are unital quasi-actions on C, E, respectively, and Ω is a groupoid cocycle in $C^2(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$, which is normalized (i.e. $\Omega_{g_1,g_2} = 0$ if either g_1 or g_2 is a unit), satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\Delta^E_{g_1} \circ \partial = \partial \circ \Delta^C_{g_1} \tag{4.14}$$

$$\Delta^C_{g_1}\Delta^C_{g_2} - \Delta^C_{g_2}\Delta^C_{g_1} + \Omega_{g_1,g_2}\partial = 0 \tag{4.15}$$

$$\Delta^E_{g_1}\Delta^E_{g_2} - \Delta^E_{g_2}\Delta^E_{g_1} + \partial\Omega_{g_1,g_2} = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

$$\Delta^C_{g_1}\Omega_{g_2,g_3} - \Omega_{g_1g_2,g_3} + \Omega_{g_1,g_2g_3} - \Omega_{g_1,g_2}\Delta^E_{g_3} = 0 \tag{4.17}$$

for every composable triple (g_1, g_2, g_3) em G.

In other words, a representation up to homotopy of G on $C \oplus E$ is given by unital quasi-actions on C and E, which are not necessarily flat, but the flatness of those is controlled by the 2-cocycle Ω.

Similarly for $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids, a representation up to homotopy of a Lie groupoid G on $\mathcal{E} = C \oplus E$ is equivalent to a $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid structure on $(t^*C \oplus_G s^*E, G, E, M)$. More precisely, the vector

bundle $t^*C \oplus s^*E \to G$ inherits a groupoid structure with the following source, target and identity maps:

$$\tilde{s}(c,g,e) = e, \quad \tilde{t}(c,g,e) = \partial(c) + \Delta_g^E(e), \quad \tilde{1}(e) = 0 \oplus e,$$

and with the following groupoid multiplication:

$$(c_1,g_1,e_1)\cdot(c_2,g_2,e_2) = \left(c_1 + \Delta_{g_1}^C(c_2) - \Omega_{g_1,g_2}(e_2), g_1\cdot g_2, e_2\right).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$ the category of 2-term representations up to homotopy of G and by $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G) \to \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}}(G)$ the correspondence defined above. For more details, see [12].

4.2 Lie theory for 2-term representations

Let G be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. It was shown in [3] that there is a natural differentiation functor

$$\mathcal{Lie}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty} : \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A),$$

between the categories of representations up to homotopy of G and A, respectively. Consider a quadruple $(\partial, \Delta^C, \Delta^E, \Omega)$ in $\mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$, then the unital quasi-action $\Delta^C : G \to L(C)$ can be linearized at the units of G, yielding an A-connection ∇^C on the vector bundle C. Concretely, for every $a \in \Gamma(A), c \in \Gamma(C)$ and $x \in M$, the expression

$$(\nabla_a^C c)(x) := \frac{d}{dr}(\Delta^C_{g(r)} c(x))|_{r=0},$$

where $g(r), r \in \mathbb{R}$, is a curve in $s^{-1}(x)$ with $\dot{g}(0) = a(x)$, defines an A-connection on C. If the quasi-action Δ^C is flat, then the corresponding A-connection ∇^C is flat as well. Similarly, the quasi-action Δ^E determines an A-connection ∇^E on the vector bundle E. The cocycle Ω can also be differentiated in a similar way (see [3, def. 3.9]) yielding a 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E,C))$. For more details, the reader can see [3].

Therefore, it is natural to introduce the following notion of integrability for 2-terms representations up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid.

Definition 4.4 *A representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$ of A is said to be* **integrable** *if there exists an integration G of A and a representation up to homotopy $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$ such that $\mathcal{Lie}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) \simeq \mathcal{E}$.*

The main observation of this section is that the integrability of a 2-term representation up to homotopy of an integrable Lie algebroid A, can be reduced to the integrability of the corresponding $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid over A. For that, we need the following result.

Lemma 4.5 *The functors $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}$ commute with differentiation. That is,*

$$\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}} \circ \mathcal{Lie}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty} = \mathcal{Lie} \circ \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}}.$$

Proof: This lemma is a direct consequence of a long but straightforward computation which makes use of the definitions involved. We only present here the main ingredients of the proof.

First we fix a 2-term representation up to homotopy of G on a graded vector bundle $C \oplus E$. Such a representation is given by a quadruple $(\Delta^E, \Delta^C, \partial, \Omega)$ where Δ^E, Δ^C are quasi-actions

of G on E and C respectively, $\partial : C \to E$ is a bundle morphism, and $\Omega \in C^2(G, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$, satisfying the axioms (c.f. Definition 4.3) of a representation up to homotopy.

Recall that the functor $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{G}}$ maps the representation up to homotopy $(\Delta^E, \Delta^C, \partial, \Omega)$ to the $\mathcal{VB}$-groupoid (Γ, G, E, M) where $\Gamma := t^*C \oplus s^*E$ is the vector bundle over E, equipped with the groupoid structure whose source, target and identity maps are:

$$\tilde{s}(c, g, e) = e, \quad \tilde{t}(c, g, e) = \partial(c) + \Delta^E_g(e), \quad \tilde{1}(e) = 0 \oplus e,$$

and with the following groupoid multiplication:

$$(c_1, g_1, e_1) \cdot (c_2, g_2, e_2) = \left(c_1 + \Delta^C_{g_1}(c_2) - \Omega_{g_1, g_2}(e_2), g_1 \cdot g_2, e_2\right).$$

It is easy to see that, by applying the functor $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie}$ to $\Gamma = t^*C \oplus s^*E$, we obtain a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid $D = A \oplus C \oplus E$ over A, with core C, and side E. We have to check that:

$$D = \mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}}\left(\Delta^E, \Delta^C, \partial, \Omega\right)\right).$$

Elements in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie}(\Gamma)$ will be denoted by (c_x, a_x, e_x), where $c \in C$, $a \in A$, $e \in E$ all belong to the fiber over a point $x \in M$. The canonical inclusion $A(\Gamma) \subseteq T\Gamma$ is then given explicitly by:

$$i_\Gamma : A(\Gamma) \to T\Gamma, \quad (c, a, e) \mapsto (c + \rho(a), a, 0)|_{(0, 1_x, e)}.$$

Furthermore, the anchor map of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie}(\Gamma)$ is given by $\tilde{\rho} = T\tilde{t} \circ i_\Gamma$, so we obtain:

$$\tilde{\rho}(c, a, e) = T\tilde{t}(c + \rho(a), a, 0)|_{(0, 1_x, e)}.$$

It follows that the core anchor map of D is given by $\tilde{\rho}(c, 0, 0) = \partial(c)$. Hence, it coincides with the core anchor map of the $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}}\left(\Delta^E, \Delta^C, \partial, \Omega\right)\right)$.

The next step is to look at core and linear sections of D. Since D is the Lie algebroid of Γ, the sections of D can be identified with right invariant vector fields on Γ and we obtain the following descriptions:

- *Core sections:* let $c \in \Gamma(C)$ be a section of C. The corresponding core section $\hat{c} \in \Gamma(D)$ is defined by $\hat{c}(e) = (c, 0^A, e)$. In particular, we have:

  $$i_\Gamma(\hat{c}(e)) = (c, 0, 0)|_{(0, 1_x, e)} \in T_{\tilde{1}(e)}\Gamma.$$

  The curve $r \mapsto \gamma(r) = (rc, 1_x, e)$ in Γ satisfies $\gamma(0) = (0, 1_x, e)$ and $\gamma'(0) = i_\Gamma(\hat{c}(e))$. Using the definition of the multiplication in Γ, we conclude that the right invariant vector field $\hat{c}^R \in \mathfrak{X}^R(\Gamma)$ takes the form:

  $$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}^R(k, g, e) =& \frac{d}{dr}\left((rc, 1_x, \partial(k) + \Delta^E_g(e))(k, g, e)\right)\\ =& (c_x, 0_g, 0_e).\end{aligned}$$

- *Linear sections:* for a linear section $\chi \in \Gamma(D)$ covering a section α of A, we have:

  $$\chi(e) = (\beta(\alpha), \alpha, e),$$

for some $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(E, C)$. Hence, we have $i_\Gamma(\chi(e)) = (\beta(e) + \rho(\alpha), \alpha, 0)|_{(0,1_x,e)}$ and the corresponding right invariant vector field is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^R(c, g', e') &= \frac{d}{dr}\left((r\beta_r(e'), g(r), \partial(c) + \Delta^E_{g'}(e'))(c, g'e')\right)\big|_{r=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dr}\big(r\beta_r(e') + \Delta^C_{g(r)} - \Omega_{g(r)g'}(e'), g(r)g', e'\big)\big|_{r=0}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall now that by definition of the core A-connection $[\chi, \hat{c}]_D =: \widehat{\nabla^C_\alpha c}$, in particular:

$$[\chi^R, \hat{c}^R] = \big(\widehat{\nabla^C_\alpha c}\big)^R.$$

A straightforwrd computation using the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie^2_\infty}$ then shows that the core connections induced by the splitting of D and by ∇^C do coincide: $\nabla^C = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}ie^2_\infty}(\Delta^C)$.

The relation between the other structural operators can be verified in a similar manner. □

It follows immediately from the previous lemma and from the definitions that the integrability problem for a 2-term representation up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid always boils down to the integrability problem for the corresponding $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid. This is the content of the next result.

Proposition 4.6 *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\partial, \nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ be a 2-term representation up to homotopy of a Lie algebroid A. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable if and only if $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{D})$ is integrable.*

As a consequence, one can apply the criterium for the integrability of $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids given in Section 3: given a 2-term representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{E}$ of A, and a leaf a $L \subset M$ of A, we obtain a pull-back representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{E}_L \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}ep^2_\infty}(A_L)$ by pulling back the superconnection along the inclusion $A_L \hookrightarrow A$. Recall that $\mathcal{VB}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{E}_L)$ is of regular type, hence it comes with a class:

$$\omega_L \in H^2\left(A_L, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{coker}\,\partial_L, \ker\partial_L)\right).$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}$ comes with a globally defined 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(A, \mathrm{Hom}(E, C))$. Then, as a direct consequence of Corollary 3.5, Proposition 3.15 and Theorem 3.18 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.7 *Let A be a Lie algebroid and $\mathcal{E} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}ep^2_\infty}(A)$ a 2-term representation up to homotopy of A, and denote by $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ the associated connection data. The following assertions are equivalent:*

i) $\mathcal{E} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}ep^2_\infty}(A)$ is integrable.

ii) A is integrable and $\mathcal{E}_L \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}ep^2_\infty}(A_L)$ is integrable for each leaf L of A.

iii) A is integrable and, for any leaf L of A, the periods of ω_L vanish:

$$\int_\sigma \omega_L = 0, \text{ for every } [\sigma] \in \pi_2(A_L),$$

iv) A is integrable and the periods of ω vanish:

$$\int_\sigma^\nabla \omega = 0, \text{ for every } [\sigma] \in \pi_2(A).$$

Finally, as we have shown, when D is integrable to $\mathcal{G}(D)$ then any splitting of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ produces a desired element in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}ep^2_\infty}(G)$ integrating $\mathcal{E}$.

4.3 The case of the adjoint and coadjoint representations up to homotopy

Consider an integrable Lie algebroid A, and G an arbitrary Lie groupoid integrating A. Since the Lie groupoids TG and T^*G have Lie algebroids which are respectively isomorphic to TA and T^*A, we deduce that both TA and T^*A are integrable as $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroids.

Recall furthermore that the choice of TM-connection on A, determines a splitting for both TA and T^*A, obtaining the so-called adjoint and coadjoint representations up to homotopy $\mathrm{ad}_{A,\nabla}, \mathrm{ad}^*_{A,\nabla} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$ of A on the 2-term complexes $\rho_A : A \to TM$, and $\rho^t_A : T^*M \to A^*$, respectively. As a corollary of Lemma 4.5, we obtain:

Proposition 4.8 *Let A be a Lie algebroid and ∇ a TM-connection on A. Then both the adjoint and coadjoint represenations up to homotopy $\mathrm{ad}_{A,\nabla}, \mathrm{ad}^*_{A,\nabla} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$ are integrable if and only if A is integrable. In this case, for any Lie groupoid G integrating A, there exists elements in $\mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$ integrating $\mathrm{ad}_{A,\nabla}$ and $\mathrm{ad}^*_{A,\nabla}$.*

4.4 Holonomies of superconnections and smoothness of the integration

In [4], the authors provide an integration scheme that applies to representations up to homotopy of A on *arbitrary* graded vector bundles:

$$\int : \mathcal{Rep}_{\infty}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Rep}_{\infty}(\pi_{\infty}(A)).$$

The output of this integration procedure consists in a representation up to homotopy $\int \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Rep}_{\infty}(\Pi_{\infty}(A))$ of the infinity groupoid $\Pi_{\infty}(A)$. Here, $\Pi_{\infty}(A)$ is obtained by a simplicial construction. A k-simplex σ in $\Pi_{\infty}(A)$ is defined as a Lie algebroid morphism $T\Delta^k \to A$, where Δ^k denotes the geometric k-simplex. Then the representation $\int \mathcal{E}$ applied to a simplex σ is obtained by taking the holonomy associated to the A-superconnection $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{E}$, in order to produce endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$ of various degrees.

Consider now a representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1, and it is easy to see that $\int \mathcal{E}$ descends to the truncation of $\Pi_{\infty}(A)$ at the level of 2-simplices. Let us assume that A is integrable, and denote by G the Weinstein groupoid of A. Then every $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$ defines an element $\pi^*\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{Rep}_{\infty}(\Pi_{\infty}(A))$ by means of the obvious simplicial map $\pi : \Pi_{\infty}(A) \to \mathsf{N}G$, where $\mathsf{N}G$ denotes the nerve $\mathsf{N}G$ of G (see [4] for details).

However, when $\mathcal{E}$ is the representation up to homotopy underlying Example 3.20, it is shown in [4, Prop. 5.4] that $\int \mathcal{E}$ cannot be quasi-isomorphic to a representation of the form $\pi^*\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. In other words, there is no representation up to homotopy of the Weinstein groupoid $\mathcal{G}(A)$ inducing $\mathcal{E}$. This problem can be understood from the fact that the underlying $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid is not integrable, *i.e.* it is a smoothness issue of the corresponding Weinstein groupoid of D.

More generally, if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(A)$ is such that $\int \mathcal{E}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\pi^*\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ for some $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{Rep}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\infty}(G)$, then the $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid D underlying $\mathcal{E}$ must be integrable. This follows by observing that, in this case, $\pi^*\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ evaluated on a 2-simplex σ which defines an A-sphere (i.e. $\sigma|_{T\partial\Delta^2} = 0$) is the trivial endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, co-restricting σ to the corresponding leaf L of A and by projecting to the type 0 component of D_L, one easily sees that the holonomy associated to σ is $\int_\sigma \omega_0$. Thus, by Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.5 one concludes that D must be integrable.

A Holonomies and curvatures

Consider a $\mathcal{VB}$-algebroid (D, A, E, M) together with a splitting, and denote by $(\nabla^E, \nabla^C, \omega)$ the corresponding connection data. Given an A-homotopy $adt + bds : TI^2 \to A$, we shall denote γ_t^s rather than $\gamma(t,s)$ the base map. Similarly, for any $t, t' \in [0,1]$, we will denote $a^s_{t',t} : T[t,t'] \to A$ the A-path $a|_{[t',t]\times\{s\}}dt$, while:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hol}^E_{a^s_{t',t}} &: E_{\gamma_t^s} \to E_{\gamma_{t'}^s},\\ \mathrm{hol}^C_{a^s_{t',t}} &: C_{\gamma_t^s} \to C_{\gamma_{t'}^s}\end{aligned}$$

will denote the corresponding holonomies.

To end this Appendix, we recall a general result relating holonomies and curvatures.

Lemma A.1 *For an arbitrary A-connection ∇^E on a vector bundle $E \to M$ with curvature $\omega^E \in \Omega^2(A, \mathrm{End}(E))$, and any A-homotopy $adt + bds : TI^2 \to A$, the parallel transport and the curvature are related as follows:*

$$\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{hol}^E_{a^s_{1,0}}(x) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{hol}^E_{a^s_{1,t}} \circ\, \omega^E(a,b)_{\gamma_t^s} \circ \mathrm{hol}^E_{a^s_{t,0}}(x)dt, \tag{A.18}$$

This result goes back to [17] in the case of usual linear connections (*i.e.* TM-connection) and can be proved by a simple argument of calculus of variations. The case of an arbitrary A-connection follows by pulling back the A-connection along the morphisms $TI^2 \to A$.

References

[1] C. Arias Abad, M. Crainic, *Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 663, 2012, pp. 91-126.

[2] C. Arias Abad, M. Crainic, *Representations up to homotopy and Bott's spectral sequence for Lie groupoids*, Adv. Math. 248 (2013), 416452.

[3] C. Arias Abad, F. Schätz, *Deformations of Lie brackets and representations up to homotopy*, Indagationes Mathematicae, Vol. 22, 1-2, 2011, pp. 27-54.

[4] C. Arias Abad, F. Schätz, *The A_∞ de Rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 16.

[5] O. Brahic, *Extensions of Lie brackets*, J. Geom. Phys. 60, No. 2, 352-374 (2010).

[6] O. Brahic, C. Zhu, *Lie algebroid fibrations*, Adv. Math. 226, No. 4, 3105-3135 (2011).

[7] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, M. del Hoyo, *Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids*, in preparation.

[8] A. Coste, P. Dazord, A. Weinstein, *Groupoides symplectiques*, Publ. Dep. Math. Nouvelle Ser. A2, Univ. Claude- Bernard, Lyon 1-62 (1987)

[9] M. Crainic, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, Van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Comment. Math. Helv 78 (2003), 681-721.

[10] Crainic, Fernandes, *Integration of Lie brackets*, Ann. of Math. 157, (2003) 575-620.

[11] Alfonso Gracia-Saz, Rajan Mehta, *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids*, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), no. 4, 1236-1275.

[12] Alfonso Gracia-Saz, Rajan Mehta, *$\mathcal{VB}$-groupoids and representation theory of Lie groupoids*, arXiv:1007.3658v4

[13] J. Grabowski, M. Rotkiewicz, *Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. 59 (2009).

[14] D. Li-Bland, *$\mathcal{LA}$-Courant algebroids and their applications*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Toronto, arxiv:1204.2796 (2012)

[15] K. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, Lecture Notes London Math. Soc. 213 (2005).

[16] K. Mackenzie, P. Xu, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids*, Topology 39, 445-467 (2000).

[17] A. Nijenhuis, *On the holonomy groups of linear connections I a.General properties of affine connections*, Indag. Math., 15, pp. 233-240 (1953).

[18] C. Ortiz, *Multiplicative Dirac structures*, Pac. Journal of Mathematics 266, 2, 329-365 (2013)

[19] J. Pradines, *Représentation des jets non holonomes par des morphismes vectoriels doubles souds*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série A, 278, pp. 1523-1526 (1974).